Kinetics of Homolysis of Substituted Benzyl Complexes of Pentaaquachromium(II1) and Product Variation with Substituent and Scavenger

Zhongsheng Zhang and Robert B. Jordan'

Department of Chemistry, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada T6G 2G2

Received August 26, 1993"

The kinetics of homolysis have been studied for (H_2O) , $Cr-CH_2C_6H_2^{2+}$ and derivatives with the following substituents: 4-CH3, 4-fluoro,2,4-difluoro, 3,5-difluoro, 2-cyano, 3-cyano, and 4-cyano. The reactions have been studied in the general temperature range 20–35 °C in 0.01–0.90 M HClO₄ at ionic strength 1.00 M (NaClO₄/ $HC1O₄$). The reactions were done in the presence of several oxidizing scavengers, dioxygen, aqueous iron(III), (NH_3) ₅CoCl²⁺, and (NH_3) ₅CoBr²⁺. The homolysis rate is independent of the nature and concentration of the scavenger, and the rate constant (25 °C) changes from 4×10^{-3} to 0.23 $\times 10^{-3}$ s⁻¹ from the most reactive 4-methyl to the least reactive 3-cyano. This change is primarily due to a change in ΔH^* from 26 to 30 kcal mol⁻¹, while ΔS^* is typically in the range 22-24 cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹. The organic products, identified by ¹H NMR, vary considerably with the nature of the scavenger and the substituent **on** the benzyl ligand. With aqueous iron(II1) in several systems, the products show competition between oxidation to form the alcohol and radical dimerization to give the bibenzyl derivative. From the dependence of the product distribution **on** the iron(II1) concentration, the rate constant for oxidation of ${}^{\bullet}CH_2C_6H_5$ by Fe(OH₂)⁶³⁺ is calculated to be 1.8 \times 10⁴ M⁻¹ s⁻¹. With (NH₃)₅CoBr²⁺, the more easily oxidized radicals give the alcohol, while others give a mixture of alcohol and bromide or exclusively bromide for the least oxidizable systems. However, $(NH_3)_5CoCl²⁺$ is different and gives only the bibenzyl derivative for all the systems.

Introduction

There is a wide range of η^1 organometallic derivatives of pentaaquachromium $(HI)^{1}$ with the general formula $(H_2O)_5Cr-$ R2+. They decompose in aqueous acid by either heterolytic (eq 1) or homolytic (eq 2) cleavage of the chromium-carbon bond,

$$
(H2O)5Cr-R2+ \xrightarrow{H+, H2O} Cr(OH2)63+ + RH
$$
 (1)

$$
(H_2O)_5Cr - R^{2+} \rightleftharpoons Cr_{aq}^{2+} + {R^*} \rightarrow products \qquad (2)
$$

and the rates and relative importance of these processes vary greatly with the nature of the R group. The homolysis process can be inhibited by the addition of aqueous chromium(I1) which reacts rapidly with the R* radical to re-form the reactant. Then decomposition proceeds exclusively by the heterolytic pathway. **On** the other hand, scavengers can be added to irreversibly react with chromium(II) and/or R^{*}. Then decomposition proceeds by both heterolysis and homolysis, and the homolytic reactivity can be determined if it is at least competitive with heterolysis.

The heterolysis of the following series of substituted benzyl derivatives of $(H_2O)_5Cr^{III}$ has been the subject of a previous publication:2

Homolysis of the H, 4-CH3, and 4-CN complexes was studied by Nohr and Espenson,3 but the kinetics were not corrected for heterolysis, and a peculiar acid dependence was suggested for the parent benzyl complex. Kita and Jordan4 studied the heterolysis and spontaneous decomposition kinetics of the benzyl complex.

In the present work, the homolysis of the above series of compounds is studied, the acid dependence and activation parameters have been determined, and organic product studies have been performed. The observation that the organic products depend **on** the nature of both the scavenger and the substituent **on** the benzyl ligand provides interesting information about the redox activity of these ligands. The interpretation of these results is aided greatly by recent electrochemical studies⁵ of substituted benzyl radicals. **In** two systems, two products are formed in competive pathways in amounts which depend **on** the iron(II1) scavenger concentration, and this allows us to calculate rate constants for reaction of the radicals with aqueous iron(II1).

Results and Discussion

The observations from this study concern both the kinetics and the organic products of the homolysis reaction. The kinetic results are described first, because the organic products result from reactions subsequent to the rate-controlling Cr-C bond breaking.

Kinetics. The decomposition of the various substituted benzyl derivatives of (H_2O) ₅Cr^{III} have been studied in the presence of several scavengers, dioxygen, $(NH₃)₅CoCl²⁺$, aqueous iron(III), and (NH_3) ₅CoBr²⁺, with the latter two used mainly for the kinetic studies because of interferences arising from products with the other two. The temperature and acid dependence of the rate have been studied in the general range $20-35$ °C and $0.01-0.9$ M H⁺ in 1.00 M NaClO₄/HClO₄, with the chromium(III) reactant at $(1-3) \times 10^{-5}$ M. The decomposition was monitored spectrophotometrically at an appropriate maximum for the reactant in the 300-350-nm region. The full kinetic results are given in the supplementary material.

For all of the systems for which kinetic data are given, the decrease of absorbance with time in the presence of scavenger is first order and least-squares analysis gave the rate constant k_{obsd} . These values were corrected for heterolysis *(khct)* to obtain the rate constant for homolysis (eq 3). The *khet* values were obtained

$$
k_{\text{homo}} = k_{\text{obsd}} - k_{\text{het}} \tag{3}
$$

by extrapolation from the activation parameters previously determined² in the 55-75 \degree C temperature range. This correction

⁰ Abstract published in *Advance ACS Abstracts,* January 15, 1994.

⁽¹⁾ Espenson, J. H. *Ado. Inorg. Bioinorg. Mech.* **1982,** *I,* 1; *Prog. Inorg. Chem.* **1983,** *30,* 189; *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1992,** *25,* 222.

⁽²⁾ Zhang, *2.;* Jordan, R. B. *Inorg. Chem.* **1993,** *32,* **5472.** (3) Nohr, R. **S.;** Espenson, J. H. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1975,** *97,* 3392.

⁽⁵⁾ Sim, B. A,; **Milne,** P. H.; Griller, D.; Wayner, D. D. M. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1990,** *112,* 6635.

Table 1. Kinetic Results for the Homolysis of (4-Cyanobenzyl)pentaaquachromium(III) at 25 'C in 1.00 M HC104/NaC104

a Calculated by subtraction of the heterolysis rate constant from k_{obsd} .
 b Calculated from the rate law described in the text and ΔH^* and ΔS^* **determined from the** full **data set and given in Table 2.**

is small (<2%) for all systems except the more heterolytically reactive 2-cyanocomplex where it is <6% and the 4-cyano complex where it is 30 to **50%,** depending **on** the acidity. The kinetics of the latter system are discussed separately below. For all the systems, *khomo* is essentially independent of the nature of the scavenger and independent of the concentration of H+ except for the 4-cyano complex.

The 4-cyano complex is somewhat different because the rate law for heterolysis shows a saturation effect with [H+] described by eq 4. This rate law is consistent with pre-equilibrium

$$
k_{\text{het}} = \frac{K_{\text{a}}k_0 + k_1[H^+]}{K_{\text{a}} + [H^+]}
$$
 (4)

protonation of the complex with an acid dissociation constant (K_a) and specific rate constants k_0 and k_1 for heterolysis of the unprotonated and protonated forms, respectively. The temperature dependence of these values was determined² between 25 and 43 °C, and $K_a = 3.15 \times 10^{-2}$ M at 25 °C. Then one would expect the homolysis to show a similar saturation effect with $[H^+]$, but k_{homo} appears to be almost independent of $[H^+]$ as can be seen from representative results at 25 °C in Table 1. The kinetic observations for the heterolysis and homolysis seem to be internally consistent only if the rate constants for homolysis of the unprotonated (k_0°) and protonated (k_1°) forms are almost identical. Inspection of all of the data reveals that there is a small trend to higher values of k_{homo} at lower acidity. The temperature and **[H+]** dependencies of *khomo* have been **fitted** to the form of eq 4 with k_0 ^o and k_1 ^o replacing k_0 and k_1 , respectively, and *K,* fixed at values determined from the heterolysis study. It has been possible to extract values of k_0 ^o and k_1 ^o and their temperature dependence as given in Table 2.

The rate constants and activation parameters for all the systems are summarized in Table 2. The values are given independently for the two scavengers, $Fe(III)$ and $(NH₃)$ _sCoBr²⁺, to show that there are **no** substantial or persistent differences. The rate constants at 25 "C change by about a factor of 20 from the most

reactive 4-methyl to the least reactive 3-cyano system, and this is largely due to a small increase in *AH** from about 26 to 30 kcal mol⁻¹ with ΔS^* values of \sim 22 cal mol⁻¹ K⁻¹. Large positive ΔS^* values are typical of homolysis reactions¹ of $(H_2O)_5Cr-R^{2+}$ complexes. The rate constants for the 4-methyl and benzyl complexes are in reasonable agreement with the values of 3.7 **X** 10^{-3} and 2.6×10^{-3} s⁻¹ determined by Nohr and Espenson.³ Their value of 5.56×10^{-4} s⁻¹ for the 4-cyano complex is consistent with our k_{obsd} values (Table 1), but they were unaware of the substantial heterolysis correction so that the true homolysis rate constants are smaller.

Nohr and Espenson noted a correlation of *khomo* and the Hammett substituent constant σ . The latter are also given in Table 2, and the correlation now is not as good with the expanded and corrected data base and the inclusion of ortho- and metasubstituted systems. If the results of Nohr and Espenson for the 4-bromo and 4-(trifluoromethyl) complexes are included, it appears that the 3-cyano and 3,5-difluoro complexes are reacting too slowly and the 2-cyano complex reacts too fast. If the latter three systems are excluded, one finds $log(k_{\text{homo}}) = -2.62 - 1.06\sigma$ *(r* = 0.985). For the three deviant systems, the predicted and observed rate constants differ by about a factor of 2.

It is interesting to note that the stability of the benzyl radical does not seem to be a determining factor in the homolysis rate. Various measures of substituted benzyl radical stability^{6,7} (σ^* values)* indicate that, relative to the benzyl radical, the 3-cyano should be less stable and the 4-cyano more stable. If the stability of the radical were contributing significantly to the stability of the transition state, one would expect the 4-cyano complex to homolyze more rapidly and the 3-cyano complex less rapidly than the benzyl. This is certainly not true.

There is a reasonable correlation of the rate constants for heterolysis and homolysis of these benzyl systems. For six of the eight systems in Table 2, $log(k_{\text{homo}}) = 5.46 + 1.51 log(k_{\text{het}})$ (*r* $= 0.985$; k_{het} is the H⁺ independent value; rate constants at 25 "C). This implies that the strength of the Cr-C bond is important for both processes. The two exceptions to this correlation are the 2-cyano and 4-cyano complexes which undergo heterolysis faster than predicted by 10 and 50 times, respectively. The larger heterolysis rates were attributed² to delocalization of negative charge from $CH₂$ onto the CN substituent thereby weakening the Cr-C bond. For homolysis, this effect may be attenuated if homolysis is viewed as also involving electron transfer from the R- ligand to Cr^{III}. The electron transfer would be disfavored by delocalization.

It was noted above that dioxygen and $(NH₃)₅CoCl²⁺$ were not used regularly as scavengers for the kinetic studies because of product interference. For $(NH_3)_5CoCl^{2+}$, the bibenzyl product tends to precipitate during the kinetic runs, and this scavenger could only be used with the cyano derivatives. With dioxygen, we often observed that the first-order rate constant appeared to be somewhat wavelength dependent in the 280-320-nm region. This was especially a problem for the cyano systems whose absorbance maxima2 are below 320 nm. The problem is due to a second process which is clearly manifested by observations in the 240-260-nm region, which show an initial increase in absorbance followed by a decrease. We have made some attempt to deconvolute these processes by fitting absorbance-time data at four wavelengths (typically 244, 256, 284, and 316 nm) to a biphasic model. The general conclusion is that the faster process is homolysis as judged from the correspondence of the rate constant to that with other scavengers (see Table 1) and from wavelengths

⁽⁶⁾ Dust, J. M.; Arnold, D. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 1221.
(7) Fisher, T. H.; Meierhoefer, A. W. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 224. Fisher,

T. H.; Dershem, S. M.; Prewitt, M. L. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 1040.
(8) Tokumura, K.; Ozaki, T.; Nosaka, H.; Saigusa, Y.; Itoh, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 4974. These authors found that the reactivity of substituted **ref 7.**

Table 2. Summary of the Rate Constants and Activation Parameters for the Homolysis of Substituted Benzyl Complexes of Pentaaquachromium(II1)

substituent	scavenger	Hammett σ	10^3k_{homo} , s ⁻¹ (25 °C) ^a	ΔH^* , kcal mol ⁻¹	ΔS^* , cal mol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ b
4-methyl	$(NH_3)_5CoBr^{2+}$	-0.14	4.05	27.1 ± 1.0	21.5 ± 3.3
	Fe(III)		4.15	25.9 ± 0.6	17.3 ± 2.0
$-H$	(NH_3) ₅ C_0Br^{2+}	$\mathbf 0$	2.07	27.3 ± 1.2	20.6 ± 4.0
	Fe(III)		2.03	26.9 ± 0.5	19.3 ± 1.6
4-fluoro	(NH_3) ₅ $CoBr2+$	0.06	1.87	27.6 ± 1.1	21.6 ± 3.7
	Fe(III)		1.78	27.3 ± 0.9	20.4 ± 3.1
2.4-difluoro	(NH_3) ₅ $CoBr^{2+}$	0.60	0.516	28.3 ± 0.5	21.2 ± 1.7
	Fe(III)		0.498	28.9 ± 1.4	23.4 ± 4.5
3.5-difluoro	(NH_3) ₅ C_0Br^{2+}	0.68	0.278	28.7 ± 1.0	21.6 ± 3.2
3-cyano	(NH_3) ₅ $CoBr^{2+}$	0.62	0.223	30.0 ± 1.2	25.5 ± 4.0
	Fe(III)		0.249	29.3 ± 1.4	23.1 ± 4.6
2-cyano	$(NH_3)_5CoBr^{2+}$	1.06	0.346	29.1 ± 0.7	23.2 ± 2.4
	Fe(III)		0.377	29.3 ± 1.3	23.9 ± 4.3
	(NH_1) ₅ $CoCl2+$		0.369	29.0 ± 0.6	23.1 ± 2.0
4 -cyano ϵ	$Fe(III)$, $(NH3)5CoBr2+$	0.70	$0.428 (k_0^{\circ})$	30.6 ± 0.4	28.6 ± 3.2
	Fe(III), $(NH_3)5COBr^{2+}$		$0.346(k_1^{\circ})$	30.1 ± 0.3	26.6 ± 2.0

a Calculated from the ΔH^* and ΔS^* for each scavenger. ^b Calculated for each scavenger; errors are 95% confidence limits. ^c The data for the two scavengers were analyzed together to determine k_0 ^o and k_1 ^o.

Table 3. Chemical Shifts of Diagnostic Proton Resonances in the Organic Reaction Products

reactant	product functional group ^a					
substituent	CH ₃	C_2H_4	HCO	CH ₂ OH ^d	CH ₂ Br	
4-methyl	2.31 ^b	2.87	9.96^{b}	4.60(2.16)	4.52c	
н	2.35c	2.95c	10.03c	4.70 $(1.77)^c$	4.51c	
4-fluoro	2.35	2.87	9.96	4.65(1.93)	4.48c	
2.4-difluoro	2.24	2.88	10.28c	4.72 (1.70)	4.49c	
3,5-difluoro	2.35	2.89	9.95c	4.67 $(2.07)^c$	4.40c	
2-cyano	2.58 ^b	3.17	10.34 ^b		4.64c	
3-cyano	2.39 ^b	2.97	10.05 ^b		4.47c	
4-cvano	2.41 ^b	3.00	10.11 ^b		4.48c	

*^a*Chemical shifts in ppm relative to internalTMS in CDC13. *b* Identified by comparison to data for the compound in the Aldrich compilation. ϵ Identified by comparison to spectra of commercial samples. ϵ OH values in parentheses.

in the 350–360 range. The second process has $k \approx 2 \times 10^{-4}$ s⁻¹ at 25 °C for both the 2- and 4-cyano complexes, and we suspect that this process involves decomposition of $CrO₂²⁺$ (formed from $Cr^{2+} + O_2$; see below), because the rates we observe are quite similar to those indicated by the absorbance-time curves reported by Brynildson, Bakac, and Espenson⁹ for the decomposition of $CrO₂²⁺$ in the presence of dioxygen. In addition, $CrO₂²⁺$ has significant absorbance at the 2×10^{-5} M concentrations of this study and in the 240-300-nm range where we observe these complications. These are not ideal systems for studying $CrO₂²⁺$ because of the absorbance of the aromatic products, but homolysis of other Cr-R complexes could be adapted to the preparation of $CrO₂²⁺$ because it avoids the presence of excess $Cr(II)$ which leads to decomposition of $CrO₂²⁺$.

Organic Products. These products have been identified by ¹H NMR after extraction into chloroform, as described in the Experimental Section, and relative amounts have been determined from the integrated NMR intensities. The chemical shifts of the most diagnostic protons for each product are given in Table 3. The reactions were done in 0.10 M HClO₄ with $(1.8-3.2) \times 10^{-3}$ M chromium and the oxidant concentration varied typically in the range 3-1 5 times the chromium, except for the air-saturated solutions with an O_2 concentration of \sim 3 \times 10⁻⁴ M. The stoichiometry with respect to the oxidant scavenger has been determined for $(NH_3)_5CoCl^{2+}$, aqueous iron(III), and $(NH_3)_5$ - $CoBr²⁺.$

The product results are summarized in Table 4, and the stoichiometry results are given in the supplementary material. The stoichiometries are consistent with the product results and are close to 2 mol of scavenger/mol of chromium, unless bibenzyl is formed when the ratio reduces to 1:l in the limit of 100% bibenzyl formation. The results are ordered in Table 4 in such a way as to show trends in the type and percentage of products.

Scavenging with dioxygen is the simplest experiment to carry out, but there are problems because of the limited solubility of O_2 in water¹⁰ (\sim 1.6 \times 10⁻³ M at 1 atm O_2). This may make dissolved dioxygen in deficiency at chromium concentrations and solution volumes compatible with product recovery. Bubbling *⁰²*or air through the solution risks removed of volatile products such as benzaldehyde.

Dioxygen gives the aldehyde as the major product in all cases, but there **is** a substantial amount of alcohol with the 4-methyl complex, equal amounts **(9%)** of bibenzyl and alcohol from the benzyl complex, and small amounts (5-10%) alcohol in three other systems. The potential diversity of reactions makes an explanation of the products problematic. The primary homolysis products are expected to react with *02* via reactions 5 and **6,** with $k > 1 \times 10^8$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹.^{8,11,12} The CrO₂²⁺ species is relatively stable⁹

$$
Cr^{2+} + O_2 \rightarrow CrO_2^{2+}
$$
 (5)

$$
C_6H_5CH_2^{\bullet} + O_2 \rightarrow C_6H_5CH_2O_2^{\bullet} \tag{6}
$$

at modest concentrations, and the benzyl peroxy radical is much more reactive. The standard chemistry of the peroxy radical^{12,13} suggests that it would undergo the equivalent of disproportionation (eq **7).** The formation of small amounts of alcohol relative to aldehyde, rather than equal amounts, implies that dispropor-

tionation is generally a minor pathway.
\n
$$
2C_6H_5CH_2O_2^{\bullet} \rightarrow C_6H_5CH_2OH + C_6H_5CHO + O_2
$$
 (7)

Direct reaction of Cr^{2+} and the peroxy radical (eq 8) appears

unlikely because it involves a bimolecular reaction between
$$
Cr^{2+} + C_6H_5CH_2O_2 \rightarrow C_6H_5CH_2O_2 - Cr^{2+}
$$
 (8)

transient intermediates. However, at the rather low *02* concentrations, this reaction could be competitive with eq 5, since the peroxy radical might recombine with its parent Cr^{2+} before the latter reacts with a second *02.* Thechromium(II1) organoperoxy

-
- (11) Ilan, Y. A.; Czapski, G.; Ardon, M. Isr. J. Chem. 1975, 13, 15.
(12) Maillard, B.; Ingold, K. U.; Sciano, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 5095. Neta, P.; Huie, R. E.; Mosseri, S.; Shastri, L. V.; Mittal, J. P.; Marithamuthu, **P.;** Steenken, **S.** *J. Phys. Chem.* **1989,** *93,* 4099.
- **(13)** Nangia, **P. S.;** Benson, *S. In?. J. Chem. Kine?.* **1980,** *12,* 43.

⁽⁹⁾ Brynildson, **M.** E.; Bakac, **A.;** Espenson, J. H. *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1987,** *109,* 4579.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Battino, R.; Reitch, T. **R.;** Tominaga, T. *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Dora* **1983,** *12,* **163.**

Table 4. Summary of Products with Various Scavengers for Homolysis **of** Substituted **Benzylpentaaquachromium(II1)** Complexes in 0.10 M HClO₄ at Ambient Temperature Where Products Are the Corresponding Bibenzyl ((PhCH₂), Alcohol (PhCH₂OH), Aldehyde (PhCHCO), Bromide (PhCH₂Br), and Methyl (PhCH₃) Derivatives, with Percentages Given in Parentheses

	scavenger				
substituent	(NH_3) ₅ CoCl ²⁺	(NH_3) ₅ $CoBr2+$	Fe(III)	$O_2 (\sim 700 \text{ mm})$	
4-methyl	$(PhCH2)$ ₂ (100)	PhCH ₂ OH (100)	PhCH ₂ OH (100)	PhCHCO (70) PhCH ₂ OH (30)	
4-fluoro	(PhCH ₂) ₂ (100)	PhCH ₂ OH (100)	PhCH ₂ OH (100)	PhCHCO (95) PhCH ₂ OH(5)	
-H	$(PhCH2)2$ (100)	PhCH ₂ OH (>98) ^a (PhCH ₂) ₂ (<2)	PhCH ₂ OH $(70-98)^b$ $(PhCH2)2$ (30–2)	PhCH ₂ OH(9) PhCHCO (82) (PhCH ₂) ₂ (9)	
2.4-difluoro	$(PhCH2)$, (100)	PhCH ₂ OH (100)	PhCH ₂ OH $(51-85)^b$ (PhCH ₂), (49–15)	PhCHCO (92) PhCH ₂ OH(8)	
3.5-difluoro	$(PhCH2)2$ (100)	PhCH ₂ OH $(52-47)^b$ PhCH ₂ Br (45–53)	$(PhCH2)$, (100)	PhCHCO (91)	
2-cyano	$(PhCH2)2$ (100)	$(PhCH2)2(3-0)$ PhCH ₂ Br (>97) ^a (PhCH ₂) ₂ (< 2)	$(PhCH2)2$ (100)	PhCH ₂ OH(9) PhCHCO $(>98)^a$ (PhCH ₂) ₂ (< 2)	
3-cyano	$(PhCH2)2$ (100)	PhCH ₂ Br(96) (PhCH ₂) ₂ (4)	(PhCH ₂) ₂ (100)	PhCHCO $(>98)^\circ$ (PhCH ₂) ₂ (< 2)	
4-cyano	(PhCH ₂) ₂ (92) PhCH ₃ (8)	PhCH ₂ Br (92) PhCH ₃ (8)	$(PhCH2)2$ (76–80) $PhCH3$ (24-20)	PhCHCO (95) PhCH ₃ (5)	

^a Small amounts of the minor product could not be quantified. ^b The product distribution varies with increasing oxidant concentration in the direction given.

complex product of eq 8 could form in other ways, such as eq 9.

$$
CrO22+ + C6H5CH2• \rightarrow C6H5CH2O2-Cr2+
$$
 (9)

$$
C_6H_5CH_2-Cr^{2+} + C_6H_5CH_2O_2^{\bullet} \rightarrow C_6H_5CH_2O_2-Cr^{2+} + C_6H_5CH_2^{\bullet} (10)
$$

But this does not seem to be highly important because our observations indicate that $CrO₂²⁺$ is decomposing at its normal spontaneous rate as a product of the homolysis. Another pathway for producing this complex is given by *eq* 10, which is analogous to that proposed by Ryan and Espenson¹⁴ for the Cr-(isopropyl)²⁺ + *02* system. In any event, the peroxy complex could decompose to aldehyde via eq 11. These reactions can account for the aldehyde and alcohol products.

aldehyde and alcohol products.
\n
$$
C_6H_5CH_2O_2-Cr^{2+} + H^+ \rightarrow C_6H_5CHO + CrOH_2^{3+}
$$
 (11)

The formation of 9% bibenzyl by the parent benzyl complex and the traces of analogous products with the 2- and 3-cyano complexes requires further explanation. This would normally be explained by dimerization of two benzyl radicals, but the low concentrations and short lifetimes of these radicals in the presence of dioxygen makes dimerization unlikely. Although Nohr and Espenson' reported **no** bibenzyl from this reaction, Kita and Jordan4 found lo%, in agreement with the 9% found in this study. Kita and Jordan suggested that the bibenzyl might form by eq

12 in competition with sacavenging by O₂. However, we must
C₆H₃CH₂-Cr²⁺ + C₆H₃CH₂^{*}
$$
\rightarrow
$$
 Cr²⁺ + (C₆H₃CH₂)₂ (12)

withdraw this possibility because it has untenable implications for other scavengers. For example, $(NH_3)_5CoCl^{2+}$ gives exclusively bibenzyl, but if this were formed exclusively by reaction 12, then the homolysis rate constant should be twice that with other oxidants which do not yield bibenzyl because eq 12 results in the loss of 2 mol of reactant per homolysis event. In fact, Nohr and Espenson found the same rate constant for the benzyl complex with (NH_3) sCoCl²⁺ and iron(III), and we observe the same result with several substituted benzyl complexes. We now suspect that the bibenzyl may form at very low O_2 concentrations, such as

toward the end of the reaction, or trace amounts are introduced during handling of the stock reactant solutions.

It should be noted that we also observed small **(<5%)** and variable amounts of a product with an H resonance near 4.9 ppm. This is tentatively assigned to the dibenzyl peroxide derivative, for which the parent benzyl compound has the $CH₂$ resonance at 4.83 ppm in CCI_4 .¹⁵ This could form by reaction

13. More of this product, relative to bibenzyl, is formed with air

$$
C_6H_5CH_2^{\bullet} + C_6H_5CH_2O_2^{\bullet} \rightarrow C_6H_5CH_2O_2CH_2C_6H_5
$$
 (13)

compared to pure **02** as the scavenger source. This indicates that dimerization of the benzyl radical is competitive with reaction **6** at typical *02* concentrations.

Homolysis in the presence of $(NH_3)_5CoCl^{2+}$ always proceeds with production of one cobalt(I1) per chromium and produces only the bibenzyl derivative. The latter must form by dimerization of two $X_xC_6H_{5-x}CH_2$ ^{*} radicals, and this process must be more efficient than oxidation of the radical by $(NH_3)_5CoCl^{2+}$. Nohr and Espenson also found only bibenzyl from the reaction of $(NH_3)_5CoCl²⁺$ and Cr-CH₂C₆H₅²⁺.

Rather surprisingly, just changing the oxidant to $(NH_3)_5CoBr^{2+}$ gives entirely different products, and the stoichiometry is always two cobalt(I1) produced per chromium. The first four complexes in Table 4 give >98% alcohol, indicating that $(NH_3)_5COBr^{2+}$ oxidizes these radicals effectively in competition with dimerization. The oxidized radical, $X_xC_6H_{5-x}CH_2$ ⁺ will react with water to give the alcohol, with the overall reaction of eq 14.

$$
(NH3)5CoBr2+ + XxC6H5-xCH2+ → XxC6H5-xCH2OH + C02+ + Br- + 5NH4+ (14)
$$

With the 3,5-difluoro complex, the situation becomes more complex and the products are **on** average **50%** alcohol and **50%** organic bromide. This implies that outer-sphere *(eq* 14) and inner-sphere (eq 15)oxidations are competitive processes for the

(NH3),CoBr2+ + X,C,H,,CH,' - XxC6H,,CH2Br ⁺ **H+** Co2+ + 5NH4+ (1 **5)**

3,5-difluorobenzyl radical. Thecyano-substituted benzyls all give

⁽¹⁴⁾ Ryan, D. A.; Espenson, J. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 704. (15) Ward, G. A.; Mair, R. D. Anal. Chem. 1969, 41, 538.

Table 5. Summary of Products and Stoichiometry for Systems Where Product Distribution Depends on the Iron(III) Oxidant Concentration in 0.10 M HClO₄ at Ambient Temperature 2001 2001 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2007 2007 200 0.10 M HClO₄ at Ambient Temperature

			% KUH"	
10^3 [Cr-R ²⁺], M	10^3 [Fe(III)], M	[Fe(II)]/(Cr) ^a	obsd ^c	calcd ^d
3.10	9.30	1.72 ^e	70 (72)	73.3
3.10	18.6	1.90	88 (90)	88.8
3.10	27.9	2.02	>98(100)	94.1
3.20	9.60	1.50	51 (50)	50.7
3.20	19.2	1.68		71.4
3.20	28.8	1.80	85 (80)	81.9
				f(68)

*⁰***Stoichiometry ratio of Fe(I1) produced to initial** Cr-R. **The other product is the bibenzyl derivative as given in Table 4. Values from** NMR integration of CHCl₃ extracts and values predicted from the stoichiometry ratio in parentheses. ^d Calculated from Scheme 1 with rate constants given **in the text. e Experiments in 0.44 and 0.94** M He104 **gave only slightly different ratios of 1.71 and** 1.68, **respectively. fProducts were not determined by** NMR.

the bromide as the dominant product. Espenson and co-workers have reported that the cyclopentyl¹⁶ and isopropyl¹⁴ radicals also react with $(NH_3)_5COBr^{2+}$ by an inner-sphere mechanism, and the ethyl radical¹⁷ reacts with both $(NH_3)_5CoBr^{2+}$ and $(NH_3)_5$ -CoCl²⁺ by this mechanism. The ethyl radical reacts 6.4×10^{-3} more slowly with $(NH_3)_5CoCl^{2+}$ than with $(NH_3)_5CoBr^{2+}$, and this reactivity difference might explain the lack of an inner-sphere product with $(NH_3)_5CoCl^{2+}$.

The lack of outer-sphere reactivity of $(NH_3)_5CoCl^{2+}$ is more difficult to rationalize. With typical outer-sphere reductants such as $Cr(bipy)_3^{2+}$ and $Ru(NH_3)_6^{2+}$, $(NH_3)_5CoCl^{2+}$ has about a 7 times smaller rate constant than $(NH_3)_5CoBr^{2+}$. Simple Marcus theory18 implies that a similar reactivity difference would be expected for reducing agents, such as the benzyl radicals. However, the more detailed analysis described below indicates that $(NH_3)_5CoCl^{2+}$ is at least 10³ times less reactive than $(NH_3)_5$ - $CoBr²⁺$ with the benzyl radicals.

With aqueous iron(II1) as the oxidant, the products indicate that the first two radicals in Table 4 undergo outer-sphere oxidation. For the benzyl and 2,4-difluorobenzyl radicals, oxidation and dimerization are competitive processes and product distribution varies with the oxidant concentration. These results are analyzed further below. The last four species in Table **4** give only dimerization. This pattern seems consistent with the thermodynamic oxidizability trends of the radicals, based **on** the polarographic data in acetonitrile.5 However, these data give the reduction potential of $C_6H_5CH_2$ ⁺ as 0.73 V (in acetonitrile vs SCE), which can be converted¹⁹ to \sim 0.98 V vs the NHE. On this basis, $Fe(OH₂)₆³⁺$, with an $E^o \approx 0.75$ V, should not be able to oxidize $C_6H_5CH_2^*$. The same argument applies to the 4-fluoro system for which $E^{\circ} = 0.73$ V (in acetonitrile vs SCE). The E° values for the 3- and 4-cyano systems⁵ are > 1 V, and these radicals should not be oxidized by $Fe(OH₂)₆³⁺$, consistent with the products. **A** more realistic analysis should take into account that solvation will make the carbocations less reducible in water than in acetonitrile, so that the *Eo* values in acetonitrile, corrected to the NHE scale, are all too positive. In fact, it appears that this correction (\sim 0.25 V) is about the magnitude which the solvation contribution makes, so that *Eo* vs SCE in acetonitrile may be **about the correct value** in **water vs** NHE.

For the reaction of iron(II1) with the benzyl and 2,4 difluorobenzyl complexes, the product distribution depends **on** the iron(II1) concentration, and further details are given in Table *5.* It should be noted that the isolated product ratio agrees with that predicted from the stoichiometry also given in Table 5. These

Scheme 1

Cr-CH₂C₆H₃²⁺ $\frac{k_1}{k_1}$ Cr²⁺ + •CH₂C₆H₃ 2 . $CH_2C_6H_5$ $\xrightarrow{k_2}$ $(CH_2C_6H_5)_2$ $Fe(III) + \cdot CH_2C_6H_5 + H_2O$ $\xrightarrow{k_3} Fe(II) + HOCH_2C_6H_5 + H^+$ $Fe(III) + Cr^{2+}$ \underline{A} $He(III) + Cr^{3+}$

observations can be understood from Scheme 1 as due to competition between dimerization (k_2) and oxidation (k_3) since higher iron(III) concentrations give more of the alcoholoxidation product and the thermodynamically more oxidizable benzyl gives more oxidation. To quantitatively analyze the system, we have used numerical integration based **on** the reactions in Scheme 1. The known rate constants are $k_1 = k_{\text{homo}}$, determined in this work and k_4 , determined by Dulz and Sutin.²⁰ The colligation rate constant k_{-1} is 8.5×10^7 M⁻¹ s⁻¹.²¹ The rate constant k_2 for the benzyl radical $(-d[R^*]/dt = 2k_2[R^*]^2)$ has been determined as 1.55 **X** 109(aqueous0.1 M **tert-butylalcohol),222.5 X** 109(aqueous tert-butyl alcohol, pH 7.5),²³ and 1.35×10^9 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ (aqueous 0.2) M tert-butyl alcohol, pH 7.0).²⁴ We have used 1.4×10^9 M⁻¹ s^{-1} as a reasonable estimate for k_2 .

The product distributions have been calculated for the various concentration conditions with the assumption that k_2 and k_{-1} are the same for the benzyl and 2,4-difluorobenzyl radicals and that only k_3 is different. Reasonable agreement of calculated and experimental values can be obtained, as shown by the results in Table 5, with k_3 values of 1.8 \times 10⁴ and 2.9 \times 10³ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ for the benzyl and 2,4-difluorobenzyl radicals, respectively.

It seems likely that oxidation of a radical by aqueous iron(II1) to give an alcohol is an outer-sphere reaction. However, there is a potential inner-sphere pathway involving hydrolyzed iron- (111) with OH- acting as the bridging ligand, as shown in *eq* 16.

$$
(H_2O)_5FeOH^{2+} \longrightarrow \left\{(H_2O)_5FeO(3) \atop CH_2C_6H_5\right\}^{2+} \xrightarrow{H_2O} Fe(OH_2)_6^{2+} \left(16\right)
$$

If alcohol is the only product, it is difficult to test this possibility because one needs **to** measure directly the **rate of** reaction **16** as a function of [H+]. However, with oxidation and dimerization in competition, as for the benzyl radical, the fraction of alcohol product should decrease with increasing acidity if the inner-sphere pathway *(eq* 16) isoperative. We find that theproducts,asjudged

- **(20) Dulz, G.; Sutin, N.** *J. Am. Chem. SOC.* **1964,86, 829.** *kd* = **2.3 X lo3** $+ 5.6 \times 10^{3} \text{ [H+]}^{-1}$.
- **(21) Blau, R. J.; Espenson, J.** H.; **Bakac, A.** *Inorg. Chem.* **1984, 23, 3526. (22) Christensen,** H. **C.; Schested, K.; Hart, E. J.** *J. Phys. Chem.* **1973.77, 983.**
- **(23) Mittal, J. P.; Hayon, E.** *J.Phys. Chem.* **1974.78,1790. Therateconstant from this study may be too large because the molar extinction coefficient of the benzyl radical was taken as almost two times larger than in refs 22 and 24.**
- **(24) Horii,** H.; **Fujita, S.; Mori, T.; Stsao, T.** *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1979, 52, 3099.**

⁽¹⁶⁾ Espenson, J. H.; **Connolly, P.; Meyerstein, D.; Cohen,** H. *Inorg. Chem.* **1983, 22, 1009**

⁽¹⁷⁾ Kelley, D. G.; Espenson, J. H.; Bakac, A. *Inorg. Chem.* **1990**, 29, 4996.

(18) Marcus, R. A. Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem. **1964**, 15, 155. $k_{12} = (k_{11}k_{22}K_{12}f)^{1/2}$, where $log F = (log K_{12})^2/(4log(k_{11}k_{22}Z^{-2})$ and the sym Bernhard, P.; Sargeson, A. M. *Inorg. Chem.* **1987**, 22, 2557. **(19) Andrieux, C. P.; Le Gorande, A.; Savéant, J.-M.** *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*

^{1992,114,6892,}

from the stoichiometry, do not vary when the $[H^+]$ is changed from 0.10 to 0.94 **M** (see footnote to Table *5).* Therefore eq 16 is not operative for these systems.

Another possible inner-sphere mechanism is shown by eq 17. This is an example of what Espenson²⁵ has called a colligation

$$
(H2O)5FeOH2+ + "CH2C6H5 (H2O)3Fe-CH2C6H33+ (17)
$$

reaction, although it has aspects of an oxidative addition and formally gives an Fe(1V) complex of the benzyl anion. Such reactions are observed for M(II) ions $(Cr(II),^1 V(II),^{26} Co(II))$ complexes,^{27,28} Fe(II)-NTA complexes,²⁸ Ni(II) complexes²⁵) which have a normally accessible M(III) oxidation state. They also have been observed for aqueous $Cu(II)^{29,30}$ and some of its complexes.31 The reaction of aqueous Cu(I1) with the benzyl radical³⁰ has $k = 2.1 \times 10^7$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, and the $\left(Cu^{III} - CH_2C_6H_5\right)^{2+}$ product decomposes to benzyl alcohol and $Cu(I)$, rather than Cu(III) and toluene. Cohen and Meyerstein²⁸ have suggested that the NTA ligand is necessary in the Fe(I1) system, for example, because it labilizes the coordinated water for substitution and reduces the oxidation potential for the $M(III)/M(II)$ couple. The modest water exchange rate of $\text{Fe}(\text{OH}_2)_6{}^{3+}$ $(k_{ex} = 1.6 \times 10^2)$ s^{-1} , 25 °C)³² and the fact that $Fe(OH₂)₆⁴⁺$ is unknown make eq 17 an unattractive alternative. One would have expected this pathway to show an $[H^+]^{-1}$ dependence because of the greater lability of $(H_2O)_5FeOH^{2+}$ $(k_{ex} = 1.2 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}, 25 \text{ °C})$,³² but the amount of alcohol formed from the decomposition of the product of eq 17 shows **no** [H+] dependence, as already noted. Furthermore, the more oxidizing radicals, such as 4-cyanobenzyl compared to 4-fluorobenzyl,⁵ should favor eq 17 and produce more alcohol, but the opposite is actually observed.

One is left with the outer-sphere oxidation mechanism of eq 18 as the most probable source of the alcohol product. This can be tested by using Marcus theory¹⁸ to estimate the rate constant $k₃$, which will be the rate-controlling process in eq 18. If one

$$
\begin{array}{lll}\n\text{Fe(OH}_{2})_{6}^{3+} & \xrightarrow{k_{1}} & \text{Fe(OH}_{2})_{6}^{2+} + & \xrightarrow{H_{2}Q} & \text{HOCH}_{2}C_{6}H_{5} + H^{+} & (18) \\
\text{CH}_{2}C_{6}H_{5} & & \text{(CH}_{2}C_{6}H_{5})^{+} & \xrightarrow{f_{3}g} & \text{HOCH}_{2}C_{6}H_{5} + H^{+} & (18)\n\end{array}
$$

assumes that k_{22} , the electron exchange rate constant for $PhCH_{2}^{\bullet}$ $+$ PhCH₂⁺, is 1 \times 10⁹ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ and that the E° values in acetonitrile (vs SCE) are equal to the values in water (vs NHE), then k_3 $(=k_{12})^{18}$ is calculated to be 0.4 \times 10⁴ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, in reasonable agreement with 1.8 **X IO4** calculated from the product distribution. Similar calculations for the 4-methyl and 3-CN radicals give k_3 values of 2.6×10^5 and 2.7 M⁻¹ s⁻¹, respectively. These are consistent with >99% oxidation of the former and >99% bibenzyl formation in the latter.

If the outer-sphere mechanism is also operative for $Co(NH₃)₅$ -Br2+, then the dominant or substantial formation of alcohol compared to bibenzyl for the first four substituents in Table 4 implies that it oxidizes these radicals with $k_3 > 1 \times 10^5$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹, assuming that the rate constant for bibenzyl formation is essentially independent of the substituent. The situation changes at the 3,5-difluoro complex which yields similar amounts of alcohol and bromide and small to negligible amounts of bibenzyl

-
- (26) Huston, P.; Espenson, J. H.; Bakac, A. *Inorg. Chem.* 1991, 30, 4826.
(27) Bakac, A.; Espenson, J. H. *Inorg. Chem.* 1989, 28, 4319.
(28) Cohen, H.; Meyerstein, D. *Inorg. Chem.* 1989, 27, 3429.
(29) Freiberg, M.; Mey *Zbid.* **1980, 75, 1838.**
- **(30)** Sciano, J. **C.;** Leigh, W. J.; Ferraudi, G. *Can. J.* Chem. **1984,62,2355.**
- **(31)** Masarwa, M.; Cohen, H.; Meyerstein, D. *Znorg.* Chem. **1986,25,4897.**
- **(32)** Grant, M.; Jordan, R. B. *Znorg.* Chem. **1981,** *20,* **55.**

derivative. This requires that the rate constants for the outersphere and inner-sphere reactions are \sim 3 \times 10⁴ M⁻¹ s⁻¹. Then for the more difficult to oxidize cyano systems, only the innersphere product is observed.

The same analysis applied to the results with $Co(NH₁)₅Cl²⁺$ indicates that $k_3 \le 10^2$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ in order to explain the absence of oxidation product, and the inner-sphere rate constant must be of the same or smaller magnitude to explain the absence of innersphere product. Therefore, for the most easily oxidized 4-methylbenzyl radical, $Co(NH_3)_5Cl^{2+}$ is at least 10³ times less reactive than $Co(NH_3)$ ₅Br²⁺. This may be contrasted with the normal outer-sphere reactivity difference of \sim 7 for these complexes with $Cr(bipy)_{3}^{2+}$ and $Ru(NH_{3})_{6}^{2+}$ and with the strongly reducing radical ${}^{\bullet}C(CH_3)_2OH^{33}$ On the basis of reasonable success of the simple Marcus equation to predict the radical reactivity with $Fe(OH₂)₆³⁺$, one is encouraged, perhaps naively, to extend this to the cobalt(III) oxidants. If the E° for $C_6H_5CH_2^+$ is ~ 0.7 V, a value consistent with the reactivity and pathway changeover with Fe(OH2)63+, then **no** set of self-exchange rate constants and E° values for the Co(NH₃)₅X²⁺ complexes will predict the reduction rate constants with $Ru(NH_3)_6^{2+}$ and the values with the benzyl radical. The essential problem is that the *Eo* difference of the reductants (0.051 vs 0.7 V) gives $\sim 10^{11}$ times smaller K_{12} for the radicals, which cannot be compensated by a radical selfexchange rate constant of 1×10^9 compared to 6.7 $\times 10^3$ M⁻¹ s^{-1} ³⁴ for $Ru(NH_3)s^{2+/3+}$. Therefore the predicted radical reduction rate constants are $\sim 10^3$ times smaller than the values³⁵ with $Ru(NH_3)_{6}^{2+}$, which are 2.6 \times 10² and 1.6 \times 10³ M⁻¹ s⁻¹ for $Co(NH₃)₅Cl²⁺$ and $Co(NH₃)₅Br²⁺$, respectively.

In summary, it is apparent that the wide range of oxidizability of the substituted benzyl radicals presents some interesting possibilities for measuring their reactivity with different oxidizing agents. As yet it is unclear whether these rates will conform to Marcus theory, as seems to be the case with $Fe(OH₂)₆³⁺$, or if there are special factors affecting the reactivity as for the **halopentaaminecobalt(II1)** complexes.

Experimental Section

Materials. Solutions of the benzyl complexes of pentaaquachromium- (111) were prepared by mixing 2 mmol of the appropriate benzyl bromide (Aldrich) in **40** mL of methanol with 20 mL of aqueous 0.30 M chromium- (11) perchlorate, prepared by reduction of chromium(II1) perchlorate over amalgamated zinc. Each product complex was separated by ionexchange chromatography as described previously,² where the electronic spectra are also given.

Aqueous iron(II1) perchlorate was prepared from iron wire as described by Xu and Jordan.³⁶ $[(NH₃)₅CoCl)(ClO₄)₂$ and $[(NH₃)₅CoBr](ClO₄)₂$ were prepared from $[(NH₃)₅CoCl](Cl)₂³⁷$ and $[(NH₃)₅CoBr](Br)₂³⁸$ by dissolving either 2 g of chloride or 1 **g** of bromide in 100 mL of 3.0 M NaClO₄ in 0.1 M HClO₄ at 50 °C, filtering the solution, and cooling in an ice bath. The crystalline solid was collected by filtration and washed three times with cold methanol and twice with diethyl ether. The product was dried for 2 h at 45 °C.

Kinetic Measurements. The absorbance decrease was followed on a Hewlett Packard **845 1** diode array spectrophotometer **at** an appropriate wavelength in the 310-360-nm region. The absorbance-time data (80 points over *5-6* half-lives) were analyzed by least-squares to obtain the first-order rate constants. As noted in the discussion, some systems with dioxygen as the scavenger showed biphasic behavior and were followed at four wavelengths. These data were fitted simultaneously to a biphasic model to obtain the first-order rate constants.

Solutions for kinetic runs were prepared by adding the required amounts of standardized sodium perchlorate, perchloric acid, and scavenger to a 50-mm cylindrical spectrophotometer cell. For scavengers other than

- **(33)** Cohen, H.; Meyerstein, D. *J.* Chem. *Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1977, 1056.** McHatton, R. C.; Espenson, J. H. *Inorg.* Chem. **1983,** *22,* **784.**
	-
- **(34)** Smolenaers, **P.** J.; Beattie, J. K. *Inorg.* Chem. **1986,** *25,* **2259.**
- **(35)** Endicott, J. F.; Taube, H. *J. Am.* Chem. *SOC.* **1964,86, 1686. (36) Xu,** J.; Jordan, R. B. *Inorg.* Chem. **1988.27, 1502.**
- **(37)** Schlessinger, **G.** G. *Inorganic Laboratory Preparations;* Chemical Publishing Co. Inc.: New York, **1962.**
- **(38)** Diehl, H.; Clark, H.; Willard, H. H. *Znorg. Synth.* **1939,** *1,* **186.**

⁽²⁵⁾ Kelly, D. **G.;** Marchaj, A.; Bakac, A.; Espenson, J. H. *J. Am. Chem.* **Soc. iwi, 113,7583.**

dioxygen, the cell was closed with a serum cap, degassed with argon, and brought to the required temperature and then enough of the benzylchromium(II1) complex solution was added by syringe togive a chromium concentration of $\sim 2 \times 10^{-5}$ M. With dioxygen as the scavenger, the reaction was simply open to the air.

Product Analysis. Solutions of $0.12-0.2$ mmol $((1.8-3.2) \times 10^{-3}$ M) of the benzylchromium(II1) complex in **0.1** M HC104 were prepared as for the kinetic runs. After being stirred for about **4** h, the solution was extracted with **10** mL of chloroform. The solvent was evaporated and the extract redissolved in CDC13, and the **'H NMR** spectrum was recorded **on** a Bruker AM **300** spectrometer. When appropriate, the aqueous phase was analyzed for iron(I1) or cobalt(I1). The iron(I1) produced was determined by titration with standardized potassium dichromate. The cobalt(II) was determined spectrophotometrically as $Co(SCN)₄²⁻$ in aqueous acetone by the method of Kitson.39

Acknowledgment. The authors acknowledge the financial support for this work from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables of temperatures, H+ concentrations, and experimental and calculated rate constants (Tables S1-S15) and stoichiometry results (Table S16) (10 pages). Ordering information is given **on** any current masthead page.

(39) Kitson, R. E. *Anal. Chem.* **1950, 22, 664.**